[redacted]

To:

[redacted]

5 March 2025

Dear [redacted],

**Re: Motion regarding the petition about concerns with DNA contamination of the mRNA covid vaccines**

I would like to convey to you my sincere thanks for receiving the petition concerning the above issue and the forthright discussion about this issue at the Development & Environment Committee meeting held on 4 March. Regrettably, I was unable to attend in person but was able to watch it live on Council's YouTube channel.

It was hugely gratifying to see Councillors actively engaging with this issue which, as testified by the petition, is a matter of some concern to many residents of the Shire—indeed, across the country. It is unfortunate that the petition was not out in the public for more than two or three weeks, otherwise I am sure it would have added up to many more pages and possibly several hundred signatures.

As a follow up to Council's discussion, during the course of the conversation I noted a few items that could bear some clarification and would like to bring the following to your attention for consideration prior to the full Council meeting scheduled for 18 March, when I understand the Committee's report will be discussed and final ratification given to the motion passed.

In no particular order these items are:

1. As well as Port Hedland, I am advised that as at the date of writing, the following councils have passed similar motions in support: **West Tamar Council, Ceduna Council, Karratha Council, Yass Valley Council** and **Port Macquarie Council**. Details of these motions can be found at the following web link https://tekooti.org/council-campaigns/
2. The Therapeutic Goods Authority's (TGA's) press release cited in the Council Officers' report has been publicly rebutted on a point-by-point basis by at least one independent analyst. A copy of this rebuttal is attached for your consideration.
3. As covered in my presentation in December, the TGA has been widely criticised for its lack of independence, being more than 90% funded by the industries it is supposed to be regulating, this giving rise to serious concerns of regulatory capture. If in doubt on this, I would refer you to my presentation pack, which included a clip of Senator Rennick excoriating TGA executives on their testimony concerning the mRNA vaccines in Senate Committee.
4. There was some discussion of the evidence of contamination and its nature. In this regard, I would refer you to the pack of information Camilo Blanco of Port Hedland Town Council emailed to every Local Government Councillor across Australia on 23 October last year, which included a copy of Dr Speicher's report covering the DNA contamination found in vaccine vials supplied in Australia. This pack also included a copy of a letter Mr Russell Broadbent MP sent

to the Prime Minister on 25 September 2024 likewise expressing his concerns over the matter. I have attached a printed copy of Dr Speicher's report for your information.

5. Since Dr Speicher's report into the DNA contamination issue, many other researchers across the world have found similar contamination in covid mRNA vaccine vials, which serves to a) confirm his findings, and b) give evidence to this not being an isolated issue, but one that is systemic and therefore worthy of urgent and serious attention. I attach a sheet containing references to a number of such published studies.
6. Although not a medical specialist of biochemist myself, it is my understanding that, much as Councillor Mather pointed out during the discussion, the use of the word "contamination" can be interpreted as "poisoned", this being a very serious charge. In my understanding, this charge is completely justified given the possible consequences of there being foreign DNA in the injections in that this DNA has the potential to be carried into cells of the person injected and subsequently be written into that person's own DNA, this having the potential to cause downstream transcription errors as cells divide and produce proteins necessary for the body's functioning—or cause affected cells to become cancerous.
7. In relation to item 6, I would point out that the mRNA vaccines do not classically meet the definition of a vaccine at all, being rather gene treatments. This being so, they are inherently designed to introduce foreign RNA (like DNA but single stranded) into the body's cells so as to cause the cells to manufacture an artificial protein—the now famous "spike" protein said to be present on the surface of the supposed SARS-COV2 virus. This spike protein is reputedly highly toxic to human tissue and cells producing it are automatically targeted by the body's immune system for destruction. As it happens, many studies have now found that the lipid nano particles (artificial fat particles) that encapsulate the mRNA core have been found to migrate around the body shortly after injection—including to the blood vessels and brain, but most particularly to the testes and ovaries.
8. As per item 7, the DNA contamination issue raised by Dr Speicher's report and brought to public attention by Port Hedland, is merely the tip of the iceberg of concerns with the covid mRNA vaccines. This fact has been strongly pointed out by Dr Mike Yeadon, former vice-President Respiratory Diseases at Pfizer and a specialist in the design and formulation of vaccines. If in doubt, I would encourage you to view his "Silver Bullet" video at https://rumble.com/v5taxsk-silver-bullet-dr-yeadon.html

Notwithstanding all of the other concerns with the covid mRNA vaccines, people concerned with the vaccines have opted to target the DNA contamination issue as a key to gaining public and official traction. In this regard, would encourage Livingstone Shire Council to lend your support to Port Hedland's initiative to get real answers for those in officialdom, knowing that, based on past performance and based on the evidence of responses, such as the TGA's arguably dishonest and disingenuous press release, they will likely go to extreme effort to ignore, deflect and minimise any such concerns. Whatever else you may do I leave to your conscience and discretion.

Once again, I thank you for your diligent and careful attention to this matter.

Yours sincerely

[redacted]

Steven Howard